

Exhibit 8.6: General Observations of the PIRLS/PIRLS Literacy 2016 Testing Sessions - 814 **Sessions (Percent of IQCM Responses)**

Question			Yes (%)	No (%)	Not Answered or Not Applicable (%)	
Did the student identification information on the PIRLS/ PIRLS Literacy booklets correspond with the Student Tracking Form?			95	3	2	
Were any defective test booklets dete	2 (BEFORE the testing began) 1 (AFTER the testing began)	97 (BEFORE the testing began) 89 (AFTER the testing began)	1 (BEFORE the testing began) 11 (AFTER the testing began)			
If any defective test booklets were re Administrator replace them approp	1	1	0 (Not Answered) 98 (Not Applicable)			
Did any students refuse to take the test?			1	98	1	
If a student refused, did the Test Administrator accurately follow the instructions for excusing the student?			0	0	1 (Not Answered) 99 (Not Applicable)	
Were any late students admitted to the testing room?			10 (BEFORE the testing began) 9 (AFTER the testing began)	77 (There were no late students 2 (Late students were not admitted)	2	
Did any students leave the room for an "emergency" during the testing?			12	87	1	
If a student left the room for an emergency during the testing, did the Test Administrator address the situation appropriately (collect the test booklet, and if re-admitted, return the test booklet)?			2	2	9 (Not Answered) 87 (Not Applicable)	
Were there any students requiring special accommodations (e.g., students with visual or hearing impairment, Dyslexia)?			6	93	1	
Did students store away everything, including all electronic devices, having only a pen or a pencil and the test booklet for the duration of the test administration?			92	6	2	
During the testing session did the test administrator walk around the room to be sure students were working on the correct section of the test and/or behaving properly?			88	10	2	
Were the conditions in the testing room suitable (lighting, temperature, noise, etc.) for the students to work without distractions?			89	9	2	
Did the seating arrangement provide adequate space for students to work and not be distracted by each other?			94	5	1	
Did you see any evidence of students attempting to cheat on the tests (e.g., by copying from a neighbor)?			3	96	1	
Question	Excellent (%)	Very Good (%)	Good (%)	Fair (%) Poo	r (%) Not Answered (%)	
In general, how would you describe the overall quality of the testing	40	37	13	6	2 2	

Question	Excellent (%)	Very Good (%)	Good (%)	Fair (%)	Poor (%)	Not Answered (%)
In general, how would you describe the overall quality of the testing	40	37	13	6	2	2
session?						

